Chapter 6
Probabilistic Phonology: Janet B. Pierrehumbert
Discrimination and Robustness

6.1 Introduction

Phonology deals with the implicit knowledge of language sound structure as used contrastively to convey meaning. The ability of humans to use this knowledge productively shows the need for an abstract generative theory of phonology. A fluent adult speaker of a language can produce new words with native word-level allophony, produce novel combinations of words with native phrase-level allophony, accommodate borrowings to native phonotactics, and create morphological neologisms involving phonological modifications of the component parts. Baayen (2001) presents calculations suggesting that new words are continually created. No matter how large a corpus a researcher is working with, a substantial increase in its size will uncover additional words. Thus, phonology is productive for the same reason that syntax is: to express novel meanings, people construct new combinations of familiar parts.

The productivity of phonology is widely believed to constitute evidence for a theory in which the phonology of any particular language has the character of a formal grammar, and the universal theory of phonology delineates the types of grammars that are available for use in individual languages. For example, the phonology of Finnish includes a set of terminal elements, such as features or phones. It includes principles for combining these elements into well-formed syllables, metrical feet, and phonological words. These principles permit /h/ in coda position (as in the word /kahvi/ ‘coffee’). They permit the double attachment of a phoneme to coda and onset position (as in the word /help:o/ ‘easy’). They preclude the kind of complex onsets found in English /stret/. They set up an alternating word pattern, described with metrical feet. They enforce initial word stress absolutely. Analyses of this sort are very
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familiar. The assumption I want to emphasize about them is that they involve synoptic knowledge that exploits abstract variables, such as C (consonant), μ (mora), and σ (syllable). If the knowledge were less abstract and synoptic, it would not generalize to novel cases in the specific ways it does.

This conception of phonology as a formal grammar (with abstract variables) is often assumed to stand in opposition to the idea that phonology involves statistical knowledge. However, this opposition is spurious, because probability theory requires us to assign probability distributions to variables. Without variables, there would be no way for a statistical learning model to tabulate any statistics about anything. Once we have variables, they can be as abstract as we like; in principle, we can assign probability distributions to any variable of any nature that we may care to define in response to scientific findings.

Introducing probability distributions into the model provides obvious and well-established tools for handling variable data and gradient outcomes. However, it in no way precludes a rigorous treatment of phenomena that prove to be highly categorical. Such phenomena can be handled in probabilistic models by assigning extreme probabilities to particular outcomes: namely, a probability of 0 to a nonoccurring event and a probability of 1 to an outcome that is certain. In short, within a probabilistic model, nonprobabilistic fragments of the grammar are readily treated as limiting cases. (For complete formal development of the intrinsic connection between probability theory and logic, see Carnap 1950 and Adams 1998.) In the remainder of this chapter, I will assume that the ultimate and true theory of phonology will involve both probability distributions and abstract variables, because the abstract variables have probability distributions over other levels of representation. My discussion will focus on questions of which distributions over which variables. I will argue that careful consideration of how statistical distributions are established and distinguished from each other enables us to reach important conclusions about the nature of human language, conclusions that would elude us in a nonprobabilistic framework.

In viable theories of phonetics/phonology, there is a ladder of abstraction, each level having its own representational apparatus. Thus, the theory as a whole must delineate both the available representation at each level and the principles relating one level to another. In the remainder of this chapter, it will be important to distinguish the following levels. This list represents a minimal rather than definitive list of levels of representa-
tion, and representational distinctions within each level on the list have been glossed over when they are not central to the goals of the chapter.

1. *Parametric phonetics*. The parametric phonetic representation is a quantitative map of the acoustic and articulatory space. In speech perception, it describes the perceptual encoding of the speech signal on each individual occasion. In speech production, it describes the articulatory gestures as they unfold in time and space.

2. *Phonetic encoding*. The phonetic encoding system of a language abstracts over the parametric phonetic space, defining the inventory available in the language for encoding word forms (phonological representations of words). In traditional phonology, these categories are taken to be phonemes. Phonemes are minimal contrastive units and they can be equated across different structural positions; the same phoneme occurs at the beginning of *pat* and the end of *step*. However, much recent evidence (reviewed below) suggests that phonetic categories are considerably less abstract than phonemes. They are not minimal in the sense that they include redundant information. Nor can they be equated across contexts. Thus, they can be viewed as peaks in the total phonetic distribution of the language (e.g., areas of the parametric space that the language exploits preferentially) or as positional allophones. Phonetic encoding, as used here, includes not only speech segments but also aspects of prosody and intonation that are defined with respect to the speech signal.

3. *Word-forms in the lexicon*. Each word in a speaker's lexicon has a representation of its sound structure that allows it to be recognized despite variation in its phonetic form resulting from speaker differences and context. The same representation presumably mediates between perception and production, making it possible for speakers to repeat words they have acquired through perception. Given this description, it is clear that word-forms are also abstractions over the phonetic space. A given word is learned through repeated exposure to that word in speech. Pervasive word frequency effects in psycholinguistics show that a word's frequency of occurrence affects the long-term representation of that word. Connections between word frequency and detailed quantitative aspects of pronunciation are documented in Bybee 2001 and Jurafsky, Bell, and Girand, *in press*. Such phenomena strengthen the point that words are generalizations over speech.

4. *The phonological grammar*. The phonological grammar, encompassing both prosodic structure and phonotactics, describes the set of possible words of a language. (Phrasal phonology is beyond the scope of
this chapter.) The grammar is revealed by well-formedness judgments as well as neologisms and borrowings. It is also revealed by the procrustean adjustments that morphologically complex forms can undergo if they become lexicalized as units.

Phonology represents generalizations over the word-forms in the lexicon, which are in turn generalizations over speech. Hence, phonology does not abstract over speech directly, but rather indirectly via the abstraction of word-forms. This jump in level has important consequences. First, we can find discrepancies between the strongest patterns in the lexicon and the strongest patterns in running speech. Discrepancies occur because a pattern that is common in running speech may be rare in the lexicon if its occurrence in running speech results from just a few different words. Second, the lexicon is small in comparison to the total number of word tokens a person encounters. An adult speaker of English knows on the order of 10,000 monomorphic words and 100,000 words total (see discussion below). Any generalization in the word-level phonology must be learnable from a data set of this size. In contrast, the 200-million word corpus used in Baayen’s (2001) calculation cited above would correspond to about 18,000 hours of speech, about the amount of speech native speakers have encountered by the time they reach adulthood, assuming they hear speech two to three hours per day. Language learners have at their disposal a vast amount of data for learning generalizations over speech, such as native details of pronunciations. The consequences of this vast discrepancy between lexicon size and number of encountered word tokens will be discussed further below in connection with statistical robustness.

5. Morphophonological correspondences. A given stem or affix can assume phonologically different forms in different, related words. Many of the differences are attributable to general constraints of the phonological grammar. For example, in a standard analysis the /n/ pronounced in the word hymnal (before a vowel-initial suffix) is not realized in the bare form of the stem hymn. This fact may be attributed to sequencing constraints within the syllable. The vowel-initial suffix rescues the consonant by parsing it into onset position. A general line of development in phonological theory, launched by Kisseberth’s (1970) work on conspiracies, aims to show how morphophonological alternations arise when contextual factors make a given stem subject to different surface constraints. This enterprise, insofar as it succeeds, minimizes the need for explicit statements about alternations. Optimality Theory is a recent manifesta-
tion of this trend. However, no current theory explains all morpho-
phonological alternations on the basis of general phonological patterns,
because many such alternations are not, in fact, general. Many alterna-
tions need to be learned on the basis of specific relations between words 
or within paradigms. I will refer to such alternations as correspondences.

Morphophonological correspondences will not be the primary topic 
of this chapter, since they are treated independently by Baayen (this 
volume). The cases that Baayen analyzes in depth are linking ele-
ments in Dutch nominal compounds and final voicing/devoicing in the 
past tense of Dutch verbs. Parallel cases in English include irregular 
voicing in the English plural, as in thief/thieves, and (perhaps surprisingly) 
English flapping, as in the contrast between capitalistic, which shares 
the flap of capital, with militaristic, which maintains the aspiration of 
military (Withgott 1983). Such patterns involve generalizations over 
pairs or sets of words. This point is brought home by an effort to es-
imate the plural of an unstable form. Is the plural of roof rooves, on 
the analogy thief: thieves :: roof: rooves? Or is it roofs, on the analogy 
cuff: cuffs :: roof: roofs? The AML model (Analogical Modeling of Lan-
guage) developed by Skousen (1989) acknowledges this fact in its very 
name; for detailed discussion of this model, see Baayen, this volume. 
In Optimality Theory, output-output correspondence constraints, or sym-
pathy constraints, acknowledge the same kind of relationship (see Mc-
Carthy and Prince 1995; McCarthy 1999). Morphophonological schemas 
in the usage-based theory of Bybee (2001) also involve generalizations over 
word relationships.

The levels of representation just introduced imply an organization 
of probabilities in the theory. Specifically, phonetic categories have prob-
ability distributions over the parametric phonetic space. Word-forms, 
viewed as sequences of phonetic categories, also have probability distribu-
tions over temporal sequences of events in the phonetic space. (These 
distributions may be deducible from the categories that comprise the 
word-form. Or nearly so.) The prosodic and phonotactic templates that 
define the phonological grammar have probability distributions over 
the word-forms in the lexicon. Morphophonological relationships also 
involve probability distributions over a universe of pairings or collections 
of word-forms.

In the following sections, I will first review empirical evidence that 
implicit knowledge at all levels of representation is probabilistic. Then, I 
will show how probabilistic reasoning predicts limits on the inferences
that can be made about language, either by the scientist or by the language learner. These limits lead to level-specific effects, because the phonetic encoding system and word-forms are acquired from vast exposure to speech, whereas the phonological grammar is abstracted over the lexicon. The lexicon provides a much smaller number of data points than running speech. Language is statistically robust, and this robustness forms its character at all levels. Finally, I will discuss correlations across levels and their implications for phonological theory.

6.2 Probability at Various Levels of Representation

6.2.1 The Phonetic Space and Categories over It
The phonetic inventory of a language is a set of labeled probability distributions over the phonetic space. By "phonetic space," I mean the acoustic and articulatory parameterization of speech as a physical event. For example, to a first approximation, vowels can be viewed as probability distributions over F1-F2 space, as shown in figure 6.1. Figure 6.1 pools data for the same vowel across speakers. Each vowel occupies a continuous region of the space. The regions for different vowels are quite distinct, even ignoring F3 and any other characteristics that distinguish them. Each vowel is more frequently instantiated by values near the center of its distribution than by values near the edges of its distribution.

The F1-F2 space is continuous, because the parameters vary continuously. It is possible to define a vowel location that is between any two particular vowel tokens, no matter how close these two may be to each other, and it is possible to define a distance metric between vowels that integrates the separation in the F1 and F2 directions. The same claims would apply to other physical parameters as well, such as spectral tilt, jaw opening, or activation of the cricothyroid muscle. If we conceive of the phonetic space in terms of all controllable or noticeable articulatory and acoustic dimensions, then it is a very high dimensional space indeed. A complex shape within this hyperspace describes the combinations of articulatory and acoustic values that human anatomy and physiology permit us to achieve.

Any individual language exploits as categories a reasonably small number of regions in hyperspace, with no language using all regions of the phonetic space equally. In fact, a rather sparse selection of regions is used by any individual language, as compared to the universal capabilities evidenced by the union of phonetic outcomes across all languages.
Figure 6.1
The F1–F2 vowel space. Data, taken from Peterson and Barney 1952, combine data for children, women, and men. Regions corresponding to each vowel are indicated. Only tokens that were unambiguously identified by listeners are included. In cases of overlap between regions, F3 or some other feature disambiguated the percept.
The largest phoneme inventories reported in Ladefoged and Maddieson’s (1996) typological survey are still small compared to the complete IPA (International Phonetic Alphabet), viewed as an estimate of the total set of sound segments available for use in any language.

The claim that languages use regions of the phonetic space—as opposed to points in the space—is supported by the fact that the phonetic realization of any given element is always variable. Even repeated recordings of the same speaker saying the same word in the same context will yield some variability in the measured values of physical parameters. When we consider the amount of variation relating to differences in vocal tract anatomy, speech style, and idiolect that the speech perception system encounters, it is clear that the system has an impressive capability for coping with variation. Indeed, the whole point of abstract levels is to cope with variation. If productions of the same word were acoustically identical across speakers and situations, then the recognition system could work just by matching spectral templates, the mathematical equivalent of laying one spectrogram on top of another and holding the pair up to the light to see whether they were identical or not. Lexical entries could link spectral templates directly to meanings, and no more abstract phonetic encoding would be necessary.

Acquiring the phonetic encoding system of a language involves acquiring probability distributions over the phonetic space. Evidence that these distributions are acquired comes both from language typology and from studies of language acquisition. As discussed at more length in Pierrehumbert 2000 and Pierrehumbert, Beckman, and Ladd 2001, there is no known case of a phoneme that has exactly the same phonetics in two different languages. Even the most closely analogous phonemes prove to be systematically different when examined quantitatively in analogous contexts, and patterns of variation across contexts reveal even more quantitative differences. For example, Caramazza and Yeni-Komshian (1974) show that voice onset times for stops in Canadian French differ systematically from those in both American English and Continental French. Experiments reported by Flege and Hillenbrand (1986) show that vowel lengthening before a voiced fricative is quantitatively different in English and French, and that English and French listeners are attuned to this difference as a perceptual cue for the voicing of the fricative. Beddor and Krakow (1999) explore language-specific details of nasal coarticulation, and Beddor, Harnsberger, and Lindemann (in press) present similar results for patterns of vowel-vowel coarticulation in different languages.
Studies of language acquisition show that phonetic knowledge is acquired gradually. Although children show attunement to the encoding system of their language toward the end of the first year of life (Werker and Tees 1994), it takes a long time to reach adult levels of competence. In production, elementary-school children still lack adult levels of accuracy in durational and spectral patterns (Lee, Potamianos, and Narayanan 1999) and in control and perception of coarticulatory patterns (Nittrouer 1992, 1993). Hazen and Barrett (2000) present similar results for phoneme perception, showing that categorization boundaries for minimal pairs such as coat, goat sharpen gradually from ages 6 to 12, but at age 12 they still differ from those of adults. Such findings are readily modeled by assuming that the probability distribution corresponding to any encoding unit is incrementally updated through experience. In contrast, the (still common) assumption that phonological acquisition involves selecting items from a universally available categorical inventory (along the lines of the IPA) provides no way of representing the fine phonetic differences that define a native accent. It also fails to explain why children take so very long between positing a category in their language and using it with adult levels of precision in perception and production.

As discussed by Johnson (1997c) and Pierrehumbert (2001a), the perceptual learning involved in the gradual acquisition of detailed phonetic categories is readily modeled using exemplar theory. In exemplar theory, labels are associated with a distribution of memory traces in a parametric space, in this case a cognitive representation of the parametric phonetic space. These traces are the exemplars that give the model its name. Empirical distributions of exemplars associated with each label are gradually built up as speech tokens are encountered and encoded. This concept is illustrated in figure 6.2, in which a single dimension, F2 (the second formant value), is selected for the purposes of exposition. The two labels involved are /i/ and /e/, which are generally but not completely separated in F2. Vertical lines represent remembered instances of /i/ and /e/, with higher vertical lines representing the strong memory trace resulting from a pileup of recent examples. The empirical distributions are not individually normalized, and so /i/, being more frequent than /e/, is more abundantly represented. An incoming token of an unknown vowel is indicated by the asterisk, located at a point on the F2 axis that reflects its actual F2 value. According to a standard model of perceptual classification, the labeling of this token is determined by a statistical
choice rule that assigns the most probable label based on the location of the unknown stimulus in relation to the density of the competing labels in the neighborhood of the stimulus (see Luce, Bush, and Galanter 1963a; Kruschke 1992.) The relevant neighborhood is indicated by the arrows around the stimulus. Since the distribution for /t/ shows a higher density in this neighborhood, the stimulus is classified as /t/. This classified speech event would induce an additional labeled memory trace at that location. Through continual updating in this fashion, the mental representation of the distribution for each label is gradually built up with experience.

For details of production to mirror perception, it is necessary to run the model backward. Pierrehumbert (2001a, in press) provides an exact proposal for doing so. Once a label is selected (presumably, through a decision to say a word that involves that label), a production goal is established by sampling the empirical distribution for that label. Specifically, an averaged neighborhood around a randomly sampled point in the distribution serves as a production goal. Bias terms on the initial sampling and on the computed production goal are available to model systematic shifts in the use of the phonetic space. Such shifts can come about through choices of speech style and historical changes in progress.

Johnson (1997c) and Pierrehumbert (2001a) leave open the question of what causes a phonetic category to be initiated in the first place. Categorization could be tied to functionality at another level (in particular, to functionality in meaning contrasts). However, increasing evidence that
infants initiate categories of phonetic encoding before they know meanings of words has encouraged researchers to look for bottom-up factors in categorization. A series of experiments reported by Maye and Gerken (2000) and Maye, Werker, and Gerken (in-proof) contrasts encoding of the same phonetic continuum under two conditions, one in which the distribution of tokens over the continuum is bimodal and one in which it is unimodal. These researchers found that both adults and infants interpret the bimodal continuum as involving two categories, even in the absence of any information about meaning. These experimental results are substantially anticipated by calculations presented by Kornai (1998). Kornai carried out an unsupervised cluster analysis on vowel formant data from Peterson and Barney 1952. The clusters identified through this analysis are extremely close to the mean values for the 10 vowels of American English, according to Peterson and Barney. The success of this analysis reflects the fact that utilization of the vowel space is not uniform, as discussed above. Utilization is more intense in regions close to the prototypical values for vowels of the relevant language, and it is sparse in between. Results of this character are not confined to speech segments. Mermelstein (1975) launched a line of research on bottom-up detection of syllables by obtaining strong results on the basis of the amplitude integral of the speech signal. Pausing, lengthening, and voice quality changes may effectively cue major intonational boundaries. Thus, it appears to be a hallmark of natural language that units of phonetic encoding correspond to distributional peaks over the parametric phonetic space. I will return to the significance of this finding in section 6.4.

6.2.2 Word-Forms and Lexical Neighbors
People learn new words by encoding instances of those words encountered in speech. Recent results reviewed in Pierrehumbert, in press, indicate that these long-term representations of individual words are surprisingly detailed. Evidence for long-term encoding of subphonemic detail includes morphological transfer effects, as discussed by Steriade (2000), historical entrenchment of sociostylistic features, as discussed by Yaeger-Dror and Kemp (1992) and Yaeger-Dror (1996), and unconscious imitation of specific voices, as revealed in Goldinger’s (2000) experiments. It may also be noted that infants acquire their first words before they abstract phonemes, and that their phoneme inventory is only gradually developed on the basis of recurring patterns in a growing lexicon (see
review in Vihman 1996). Results such as these dictated the present treatment of word-forms as generalizations over the speech stream that use the resources of the phonetic encoding system.

Psycholinguistic results show that a speaker’s total store of words is not an unstructured list. Instead, the words are organized in a lexical network in which words are connected to each other by virtue of phonological and semantic relationships. During language acquisition, the similarities and contrasts among words in the early vocabulary play an important role in the subsequent evolution of the system (see Beckman and Edwards 2000 for an incisive review). In adults, each word’s competitiveness in perception and production is strongly affected by the number and frequency of word-forms that are similar to it. Luce and Pisoni (1990, 1998) explored the consequences of such similarities for CVC syllables, using the term lexical neighbor for a CVC syllable that differs minimally from a given CVC syllable through the addition, deletion, or substitution of a single phoneme. They found that other things being equal, it takes longer to recognize words that have many lexical neighbors than words that have few lexical neighbors. The delay is particularly great if the lexical neighbors have high average frequency relative to the target; the hardest words to recognize are infrequent words with many frequent lexical neighbors, and the easiest are frequent words with few and infrequent lexical neighbors. However, it is not as widely acknowledged that these same factors affect both allophonic outcomes and well-formedness judgments, phenomena traditionally viewed as the purview of phonology.

Wright (1997) measured formant values in a set of “hard” and “easy” words produced in citation form. He found that the “hard” words were more fully articulated in citation form than the “easy” words, as evidenced by a somewhat expanded vowel space. In a similar vein, Scarborough (2002) reports that hard words have stronger nasal coarticulation than easy words, facilitating perception. Already in 1964, Greenberg and Jenkins showed that invented words that are highly similar to existing words receive high ratings as possible words of a language. Consider a new English word canule. It is minimally different from several existing words, as shown in table 6.1. The existence of these real words means that canule is a very good nonsense word. Bailey and Hahn (2001) undertook a detailed experimental and computational study of the effects of phono-tactics and lexical neighbors on “wordlikeness” judgments of nonsense words. They found that both of these factors have identifiable effects, and
Table 6.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthography</th>
<th>IPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>canvle</td>
<td>kænvəl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canvas</td>
<td>kænvs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anvil</td>
<td>ænvl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>candle</td>
<td>kændəl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>kæmbəl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

together they explain wordlikeness judgments better than either one does alone. Notably, words with improbable phonotactics were rated better if they had more lexical neighbors than if they had fewer.

Both lexical neighborhoods and phonotactics reflect general knowledge of the lexicon. Conceptually, both involve searching the lexicon for words that match some phonological template. However, there are important differences between these two concepts, which relate to differences in the level of abstraction involved. The lexical neighborhood is found by identifying the few words in the lexicon that nearly match a given word in its entirety. The lexical neighbors of a word can mismatch it in various, unrelated regards. In the example above, *canvle* mismatches *anvil* in initial position, whereas it mismatches *candle* in the onset of the second syllable. Phonotactic generalizations are made over all words in the lexicon, but involve far less detailed templates. For example, the fact that /nt/ is a phonotactically splendid nasal-obstruent cluster in English relates to the huge, diverse set of words containing /nt/ as either a heterosyllabic or a final cluster, including words such as *intrepid, pantry, encounter, poignant, tyrant*. There are 650 such examples among the CELEX monomorphemes. (The large on-line dictionary CELEX, as described in Baayen, Piepenbrock, and Gulikers 1995, was the basis for the inventory of monomorphic words used in Hay, Pierrehumbert, and Beckman, in press, and Pierrehumbert 2001b.) For /nf/, which is phonotactically worse than /nt/, there are only 38 examples among the CELEX monomorphemes. Examples include *influence* and *enforce*. For any of the words just cited, the nasal-obstruent template covers only a small part of the word. Lexical neighborhoods are understood to arise on-line during processing as words that are highly similar to the current word token are activated during perception or production. Thus, there is no evidence
that they involve any more abstraction than the abstraction involved in encoding and storing the word-forms themselves. Phonotactic generalizations, in contrast, may plausibly involve abstraction of a phonological grammar based on knowledge of all the words. Thus, Bailey and Hahn's (2001) results may be understood as showing that wordlikeness judgments do not tap a single level of representation in the system. Instead, the decision about whether a neologism is a possible word of English is reached by combining information from two levels of representation. The input from the lexical level is the extent to which the target word activates highly similar existing words. The input from the phonological level is the well-formedness of the word as determined by a stochastic phonological parse integrating its subparts.

Distinguishing lexical neighborhood from phonological effects is difficult, requiring the kind of exacting calculations and experiments that Bailey and Hahn (2001) have performed, because there is a high correlation between the size of a word’s lexical neighborhood and its phonotactic likelihood as computed from its subparts. If a word has numerous neighbors, these neighbors will often have numerous shared subparts that all contribute to the counts for the subparts in a (stochastic) phonological grammar. However, the correlation is not perfect. A phonotactically probable word may have few neighbors if its various subparts are instantiated in nonoverlapping sets of other words. Even though each subpart would be found frequently in this case, no substantial fragment of the word would match a substantial fragment of any other word. Similarly, an improbable word may have fairly many neighbors if its rare subparts happen to co-occur in several other words.

Because of the difficulty of making this distinction in concrete cases, the existence of a phonological grammar as an explicit level of abstraction is in fact still controversial in psycholinguistics. Bailey and Hahn (2001) is one study that provides evidence for a phonologically abstract level. Experiments reported by Vitevich and Luce (1998) and Vitevich et al. (1999) show evidence separating lexical and phonotactic effects. Though phonotactic goodness and lexical neighborhood density are correlated in the lexicon, these researchers find that they have opposite effects in perception. Phonotactic goodness facilitates perception whereas dense lexical neighborhoods delay perception by creating competition. Furthermore, the role of the two levels is task dependent. The influence of the lexicon is reduced in tasks that are carried out at high speed and for which only sound pattern information is functionally relevant.
Such an outcome can only be modeled if the lexicon is distinguished from the phonotactics. Data on the psychological reality of obligatory contour principle (OCP) effects discussed by Berent and Shimron (1997) and Frisch and Zawaydeh (2001) also provide evidence for phonological abstraction of this particular constraint. In the light of such results, I will assume, following mainstream thought in linguistics, that an abstract phonological level is to be distinguished from the lexicon proper. I now turn to the properties of this level.

6.2.3 Words and Word-Level Phonology

Words are made up of phonological units, and the way in which units combine differs across languages. Phonotactics deals with how units combine to make words. Because the lexicon is an open set, as discussed in the introduction, the goal of phonological description is not the actual word list, but the larger set of possible words. A number of sources of evidence converge to indicate that there is a scale of possibility, which relates to the perceived likelihood of a whole word as a function of the frequency of its subparts and the specific way they were combined. All of the studies I will cite exemplify probabilistic knowledge of phonological constraints; however, not all authors eliminate lexical neighborhood effects as a possible artifactual source of their findings.

In an experimental study of nonsense CVC syllables, Treiman et al. (2000) constructed stimuli in which phoneme frequencies were controlled and the frequency of the rhyme (the VC) was varied. They found that both well-formedness judgments and outcomes in a blending task reflected the rhyme frequency. Frisch, Large, and Pisoni (2000) collected data on the wordlikeness of two- to four-syllable nonsense words made up of either high-frequency or low-frequency CV syllables. The ratings were a cumulative function of the frequencies of subparts. Hay, Pierehumbert, and Beckman (in press) investigated the perception and judged acceptability of trochaic nonsense words containing medial nasal-obstruent clusters. They found that transcription errors disproportionately corrected infrequent clusters to (acoustically similar) more frequent ones. Acceptability was a gradient function of the likelihood of the (statistically) best morphosyntactic parse of the words. Nasal-obstruent constraints and an OCP constraint on strident fricatives both affected acceptability, and these effects interacted cumulatively to determine a given form’s score. Munson (2001) found that phonotactic likelihood affects judgments by both adults and elementary-school children. It
affects error rates in production by children but not by adults. Zamuner, Gerken, and Hammond (2001) replicated Munson’s finding for adults and extended the production results to infants.

In the literature on the psychological reality of phonotactic regularities, effects by now have been found for a wide variety of phonological templates. Treiman et al. (2000) report probabilistic effects relating to the VC rhyme of CVC syllables. Hay, Pierrehumbert, and Beckman (in press) report effects relating to a C.C syllable juncture in English, and Cutler and Otake (1996, 1998) report effects relating to the same position in Japanese and Dutch. Frisch and Zawaydeh (2001) and Frisch et al. (2001) have demonstrated the reality of OCP effects on the triconsonantal roots of Arabic, the consonants in these roots being separated by various vowels in the various words in which the roots appear. (In these studies of Arabic, phonotactic effects and neighborhood effects are distinguished.) Cutler and Butterfield (1992) have demonstrated effects in speech perception of the strong but not absolute constraint that English words begin with a stressed syllable. Frisch, Large, and Pisoni (2000) report a cumulative effect of the likelihood of CV syllables when combined with each other in two- to four-syllable nonsense words.

All of these phonotactic patterns are readily described using the apparatus of autosegmental and metrical phonology. A combined autosegmental/metrical formalism (as is developed in Pierrehumbert and Beckman 1988) permits all of them to be understood simply as fragments of phonological description. These is no single privileged level of analysis, and the fragments crosscut each other in the sense that they do not stand in any fixed hierarchical relationship. Taking the syllable as a kind of mental reference point, note that the list I have just given includes patterns that are bigger or smaller than a syllable (the word stress and the syllable rhyme); syllables that happen to be diphones; syllable junctures, containing just the end of one syllable and the beginning of the next; and consonantal projections that abstract across variations in syllable structure.

These observations are at odds with a thread in psycholinguistics that has sought a privileged unit of analysis. For example, in the (subsequently updated) speech production model of Levelt (1989), the syllable is the unit of production. This idea makes it hard to understand why some complex syllables such as /zimp/ are readily produced and judged acceptable even though they do not occur in the lexicon at all, at least as indicated by a search of CELEX. However, the observations fit in readily
with the framework of Data-Oriented Parsing (DOP) as described by Bod (1998). In DOP, as developed for automatic grammar acquisition in syntax and semantics, all partial formal descriptions up to some threshold of complexity are projected from any experienced utterance. Frequency counts for each descriptor are incremented as more and more utterances are encountered, and these frequency counts are exploited in scoring alternative parses of novel incoming forms. Compared to syntax, in fact, phonology seems like a very attractive forum for DOP because the phonological grammar lacks recursion and the known constraints appear to have relatively simple formal structure. In section 6.4, I will return to the question of what constraints are possible in relation to the issue of what constraints are statistically learnable from a lexicon of realistic size.

6.2.4 Morphophonological Correspondences

The last level of representation introduced above encompasses generalizations about relations between or among words. This is the level of morphophonological correspondences. Experimental studies of morphophonological generalizations indicate that their psychological reality and productivity depends on type frequency—for example, the number of different word-pairs that instantiate the generalization. Cena (1978) reports that the productivity of the English Great Vowel Shift (as in serene : serenity, cone : conic) depends on the specific vowel pair involved, being productive only for the more frequent vowel pairings. A study on conjugation of novel verbs in Spanish by Bybee and Pardo (1981) found that conjugation patterns exhibited only in a few high-frequency verbs failed to generalize; those exhibited in more than six different mid-frequency verbs did generalize. Bybee (1995b) discusses the role of type frequency in the formation of German participles for verbs and plurals for nouns. She shows that the default participial form of German is the most frequent one (contra Clahsen and Rothweller 1992) and that type frequency also affects the productivity of the default German plural ending /s/.

It is known that children need to have acquired a critical mass of examples before they project a morphophonological generalization (Marchman and Bates 1994). However, alternations are not necessarily acquired in order of frequency. Since morphophonological generalizations are generalizations over word pairs, the cognitive availability of a given generalization depends not merely on the existence of the two words separately
in a child's lexicon, but also on the perception that they are related. For example, the words *bicycle* and *biscotti* both contain (diachronically) a common morpheme meaning 'two'; biscotti are twice-cooked. The relationship between these words goes unnoticed by many adult speakers, however. For a young child, the relationship between *imagine* and *imagination* might not be established, even if the child knew both words separately. In a similar vein, Raimy and Vogel (2000) argue that the notoriously late acquisition of the distinction between compounds such as *brick warehouse* (a warehouse for bricks) and phrases such as *brick WAREhouse* (a warehouse made of bricks) is actually due to the complexity and irregularity of the semantic relationships expressed.

The scientist seeking to model frequency effects in morphophonology faces a similar challenge in determining what word relationships should figure in the universe over which probabilities are established. There is no comprehensive formal treatment of what word pairings or word sets are relevant. Many of the clearest results have been obtained with inflectional morphology, in which the paradigmatic organization of the forms is less controversial than for derivational morphology.

### 6.2.5 Interim Summary

In summary, probabilistic effects are known to exist at all levels of representation of sound structure. These effects are perhaps most widely acknowledged in the area of phonetic encoding. Statistical classification models that originate with the foundational works of mathematical psychology can be adapted and extended to model how different languages utilize the phonetic space in different ways. A fairly large body of experimental work also reveals the existence of probabilistic implicit knowledge of word-forms in the lexicon. This knowledge is revealed both in speech processing and in tasks closer to the traditional purview of phonology, such as well-formedness judgments and allophonic outcomes. Following results in psycholinguistics, we can distinguish two aspects to this knowledge. One is the epiphenomenal knowledge of the lexical neighbors of a given stimulus, being the set of words that are so similar to the given word that they are activated in speech processing. The other is the long-term abstraction of the phonological grammar over the entire lexicon. Frequency effects are found at both levels. Probabilistic effects of word-form relationships are also observed in the area of morphological alternations and productivity.
6.3 Expected and Observed Frequencies

The productivity of phonology indicates that humans have internalized an abstract system for making complex forms from simpler parts, and linguists have the scientific goal of characterizing this system. Comparing alternative characterizations of the system reveals an inverse relationship between grammatical complexity of the system and the productivity it can describe. At one extreme of this relationship lies the simplest possible grammar, an arbitrary cross product of the phonological inventory (e.g., any combination of elements, in any order and of any length). This cross product provides the maximum number of forms that could be generated with the inventory of elements. At the other extreme lies the list of word-forms actually observed in the lexicon. That is, we could take the words in the lexicon as a set of positive grammatical constraints that license all and only the stated combinations of elements. The lexical list would provide the minimum number of outputs consistent with our data set to date, and it supports zero productivity in the sense that any thus far unattested word is taken to be impossible.

Obviously, the true state of phonology is somewhere between these extremes. Researchers attempt to propose specific grammars whose productivity agrees well with the extensions that humans make, and whose restrictions agree well with the forms observed to be absent. It is just as important to explain the impossible forms as to explain the possible ones. This enterprise requires a way to determine what forms are systematically absent; systematically absent forms reflect complications of the grammar, in comparison to the simpler grammar that would generate them. Comparing observed frequencies to expected ones provides the means for making deductions of this kind.

6.3.1 Learning, Inference, and Underrepresentation

The language learner is in many ways similar to a scientist, an analogy developed at length in the "theory theory" of Gopnik, Meltzoff, and Kuhl (1999). The learner is attempting to construct a synopsis of encountered forms that is general enough to be productive yet restrictive enough to rule out impossible forms. If the grammar is not general enough, the learner will not be able to process novel forms generated by other people or to express new meanings. If it is too general, the learner will generate novel forms that no one else can understand. Although the
learner may have specific perceptual and cognitive characteristics that differ from those of the scientist, this broad analogy means that general mathematical bounds on inferring grammars from data constrain the scientist and the learner in the same way.

A notorious issue for the language learner is the lack of negative evidence. Linguistic scientists face exactly the same difficulty. Although we can obtain negative judgments about well-formedness, these are a very limited tool. As discussed above, the cognitive status of well-formedness judgments is under dispute, since they are known to conflate effects at different levels. As sociolinguistic studies have shown, well-formedness judgments are vulnerable to perceived social norms and do not always conform to more naturalistic data. Even if the judgments were valid, there is no way we could obtain enough of them. No matter how many such judgments we obtain, they are a sparse sampling of the set of forms the grammar should rule out. Finally, linguists can’t reliably produce forms that are impossible in their own language, and synthesizing the forms using a computer leaves no way to ensure that they exhibit the allophony they would have had if they were possible. Therefore, there is no reliable way to present impossible words to subjects in a way that guarantees they are encoded with the phonological representation the researcher has in view.

This situation has the result that statistical underrepresentation must do the job of negative evidence. Recent studies indeed show that children are quite sensitive to the statistics of sound patterns. By using statistical tools, linguists can also surmount the problem of negative evidence. It is also the case that underrepresentation has limits as a tool for deciding among alternative formal theories. We can turn these limits back on the theory and infer that there are some questions the language learner must not be asking, because they could not in principle be answered.

A phonological configuration that is systematically underrepresented is one that appears less frequently than would be expected if the grammar had no constraint disfavoring it. Thus, any claim about underrepresentation must be made within the context of a comparison between two grammars: a simpler grammar that provides the null hypothesis for the calculation, and the more complex and restrictive grammar whose status one wishes to evaluate. A choice for a simpler grammar that is always available is the generalized cross product described above. Under this grammar, each element has a frequency (estimated by its count relative to the size of some corpus), and elements combine at random. The expected
frequency $E$ of any combination of elements $P_1$ and $P_2$ is accordingly the product of their two frequencies:

$$E(P_1 P_2) = P(P_1) \ast P(P_2).$$

(1)

In practice, phonologists computing expected frequencies acknowledge that phoneme frequencies differ with position (in the syllable and/or in the word). That is, a partial phonological grammar is presupposed, and competition is between this presupposed grammar and a possible complication of it.

A major application of this reasoning is work on the OCP as it affects homorganic consonants in Arabic and other languages. Combinations of consonants at the same place of articulation are disfavored, the degree of underrepresentation being a function of the similarity and proximity of the consonants as well as of the specific language. McCarthy (1988) and Pierrehumbert (1992) both present results on this effect as it applies to the triconsonantal roots of Arabic verbs. In their calculations of $E$, positionally correct phoneme frequencies are used (C1, C2, or C3 position). Berklely (1994, 2000) presents similar results for English, French, and Latin. She overturns Davis's (1991) claim that /t/ is somehow exempted from OCP effects that apply to other stops in /sCVC/ configurations in English. Davis notes that forms like /spep/ are bad, whereas words such as /stats/ actually exist. However, Berklely is able to show that the /stats/ case is also underrepresented, suggesting a more uniform grammar than Davis proposed. Frisch (1996) also presents further calculations on Arabic that relate the degree of underrepresentation of consonant pairs in Arabic to the degree of similarity between the consonants as computed from a psychologically motivated metric. A gradient relationship is found, in which the proscription against totally identical consonants emerges at one end of a similarity continuum. These results obviate the need to posit two different grammatical mechanisms to capture the fact that the proscription against identical consonants is statistically stronger than the constraint against similar but nonidentical consonants.

Another application of the idea of expected value is found in Pierrehumbert 1994. Pierrehumbert computed expected frequencies of occurrence for all medial clusters of three or more consonants in monomorphemic English words (such as the /lfr/ in the word /palfry/), by assuming that these arise as random combinations of codas and onsets. The positional frequencies for codas were roughly approximated by the frequencies of the various consonants in word-final position once
appendices were stripped off; the positional frequencies for onsets were approximated by frequencies of onsets in word-initial position. Pierrehumbert showed that the vast preponderance of long medial clusters are expected to occur less than once in any lexicon of realistic size. For example, although a medial cluster such as /lskr/ in the nonsense word pelskra is syllabifiable and violates no sequential constraints (cf. dell, else, screw), it is unattested in any monomorphemic words of English found in the Collins on-line dictionary distributed by the Linguistic Data Consortium. Because of its relatively rare subparts, its expected count in a dictionary of this size is less than one. Thus, its absence is expected under a syllable grammar that includes positional probabilities for phonemes.

In a probabilistic model, no complication of the grammar is necessary to explain such cases. If the grammar lacked positional probabilities, then the absence of these forms would need to be modeled by complicating the grammar with additional constraints. The same argument can be made for more than 8,400 additional long clusters that are unattested in monomorphemic words. Pierrehumbert also identifies a number of syllable contact constraints, with observed counts less than the values expected from random combination of codas and onsets. These include an OCP effect leading to underrepresentation of forms with a C1C2C1 cluster (e.g., the hypothetical paffy) and a constraint disfavoring coronal obstruents in word-internal coda position (e.g., *pirtf). An experiment involving two different judgment tasks showed that these systematically underrepresented patterns relate to psychologically real constraints.

6.3.2 Sample Size
Both the statistical analyses of the Arabic OCP and Pierrehumbert's analysis of the long medial clusters of English were carried out using large on-line dictionaries yielding data sets that are roughly similar in size to the total mental lexicon of an adult speaker (see also discussion below). An individual Arabic speaker probably does not know a great many more triconsonantal verb stems than the 2,674 found by Cowan (1979). The Collins on-line dictionary of English contains 69,737 phonologically distinct entries and is similar in its coverage of monomorphemic words to the CELEX dictionary. Data sets such as these can be viewed as random samplings of the larger hypothetical data set in which all the latent potential for lexical additions is actually instantiated. The constraints governing the larger data set must, however, be inferrable from a sampling of this set. This is the case because adult speakers have learned the
phonology of their language, and learning the phonology cannot require more word-forms than individual adult speakers know.

In general, the bigger the sample, the more confidently patterns can be established. As the sample size increases, increasingly complex patterns can be established with reasonable confidence. The samples for monomorphemic words (around 10,000 items) are relatively small in comparison to the complexity of hypotheses phonologists might entertain. This is even more true for constraints such as the Arabic OCP that are active on only a subpart of the vocabulary (e.g., the verbs, which participate in nonconcatenative morphology and hence have a highly salient projection of the consonantal tier). To appreciate the force of this observation, let us consider how large a sample is required to be confident about two different constraints of English, differing in their statistical force.

The first is the constraint that a triphonemic monosyllable must contain a vowel or vocoid in English (unlike in Berber, well known for permitting even obstruents in nuclear position). For the sake of exposition, I make the simplifying assumption that these monosyllables are all (in fact) of the form CVC, VCC, CCV. The probability that a phoneme is a vowel/vocoid in this universe is 1/3; the probability that it is not is 2/3. (Probabilities sum to one and in this case there are only two alternatives.) Our null hypothesis will be that phonemes combine at random, and so positional probabilities will not figure in the null hypothesis. Under the null hypothesis, the expected probability of a CCC form is \((2/3)^3 = .296\).

Under the null hypothesis, we would need to look at three or four forms in order to expect to find one of form CCC. But if such a small item set fails to have any CCC forms, we would have very weak evidence that this outcome is impossible; on the average, one expects to get a 6 once on every six rolls of a die, but rolling a die six times without getting a 6 is not good evidence that the die has no 6. Specifically, the probability under the null hypothesis of obtaining no CCC forms in a sample of size \(n\) is

\[
\left(1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3\right)^n = \left(\frac{19}{27}\right)^n.
\]

The bigger \(n\) gets, the smaller this probability is and the more confident we become that the null hypothesis can be rejected. In this particular case, the null hypothesis becomes rapidly disfavored as \(n\) increases. For \(n = 9\) (a sample of nine triphonemic words, of which none prove to have the form CCC), the probability that the null hypothesis is true is already less than .05. For \(n = 14\), \(p < .01\) and for \(n = 20\), \(p < .001\).
Figure 6.3 illustrates graphically the comparison between the null hypothesis and the actual state of affairs, for the case of a 14-word sample. There are two probability distributions on this figure, the one on the right having the common lumpy shape and the one on the left consisting of a spike located on top of the y-axis. The distribution on the right shows the distribution of counts of CCC words under the null hypothesis. Obviously, this distribution peaks around 4. In a 14-word sample, we expect to find \((0.296 \times 14) = 4.144\) CCC words. However, we could find more or fewer by the luck of the draw, as the figure shows. The distribution on the left represents the distribution of counts of CCC words under the hypothesis that the probability is 0. This is a degenerate situation in which the distribution has zero variance, because luck of the draw will never provide more or fewer than zero CCC words. The two distributions in this figure are well separated, exhibiting only a barely visible overlap at zero, where the left-hand distribution has a value of 1.0 (because all the probability is at a single location) and the right-hand distribution has a value of .007. The nearly perfect separation of the two hypotheses is what allows them to be distinguished in practice with such a small data set.

In this example, a very small amount of data causes confidence in the null hypothesis to deteriorate rapidly. That is because there was a huge
difference between the probability of a CCC word according to the null hypothesis, and its actual probability. When the quantitative difference between the two hypotheses is smaller, the rate at which increasing the sample size clarifies the inference is correspondingly less.

For example, let us consider how large a sample is needed to infer that the probability of /s/ in word-initial position differs systematically from its likelihood in word-final position in English. I will assume (idealizing somewhat) that the positional frequencies of /s/ in the CELEX monomorphemes are the true underlying frequencies for this comparison. (Counts in morphologically complex words could be misleading, because some words and word-level affixes, such as -less and -ness, show up repeatedly in complex words.) The probability of word-initial /s/ is .1153 in this set, and the probability of word-final /s/ is .0763. Note first that for a sample of nine words, we expect to find $0.1153 \times 9 = 1.06$ cases of word-initial /s/, and $0.0763 \times 9 = 0.6867$ cases of word-final /s/. Rounding to the nearest integer, a sample of nine words yields the same expected count of 1 word-initial /s/ and 1 word-final /s/. Thus, a sample size that already was decisive (on a typical significance threshold of $p \leq .05$) for the case of the *CCC constraint is too small for one to expect any difference for the positional /s/ frequencies. The situation is explored further in the three panels of figure 6.4, constructed along the same lines as figure 6.3.

Figure 6.4 illustrates two probability distributions: one for the counts of word-initial /s/ (on the left), and one for word-final /s/ (on the right). Because we are now varying the counts by two orders of magnitude across the panels of the figure, the x-axis has now been normalized by the sample size $n$. In figure 6.4(a), the sample size is 14 (the sample size for achieving significance level $p \leq .01$ for the *CCC constraint). The heavily overlaid distributions reveal how poorly discriminable the two cases are with such a small sample. In figure 6.4(b), the sample size is 140, and the overlap of the distributions is reduced. In figure 6.4(c), corresponding to a sample size of 1,400, the distributions are well separated, but still show some degree of overlap.

Thus, it requires at least two orders of magnitude more data to evaluate positional effects on /s/ than to discover the underrepresentation of CCC monosyllables. This situation comes about through two factors. The *CCC constraint is very general, since C is a broad category whose overall probability in the system is 2/3. /s/ is a more specific category that is instantiated less frequently. In general, the more specific a phonological
Figure 6.4
Probability distributions for $P(\#s) = .1153$ and $P(s\#) = .0763$, for sample sizes of (a) $n = 14$, (b) $n = 140$, and (c) $n = 1,400$. Distributions computed directly by a binomial calculation.
description is, the fewer forms it will be true over and the more data will be needed to distinguish its probability from the probability of any of its close formal relatives. Also, in the *CCC example, the null hypothesis of \( P = .296 \) differs greatly from the actual state of affairs to which we compare it. The positional effect on /s/ was relatively slight, the probability for final position being about 2/3 of the probability for initial position. A slight difference is harder to evaluate than a massive one.

It is important to keep in mind that the probability of an anomalous run of events under the null hypothesis will never be zero; it will only get smaller and smaller. The probability of throwing all heads on \( n \) throws of a fair coin is \( (1/2)^n \), so a coin-tosser could get a million heads in a row with a probability of one-half to the millionth power, which though small is greater than zero. If we imagined that people had vocabularies of infinite size, then no amount of data would suffice to prove that CCC monosyllables are truly impossible; we could only show that they are vanishing rare.

### 6.3.3 Vocabulary Size
Since people do not have vocabularies of infinite size, the sizes of actual vocabularies can be used to place a bound on the statistical resolution
that is either possible or useful. Estimation of vocabulary size is a difficult issue. Productive and receptive vocabularies differ. It is unclear which morphologically complex words are stored and which are derived on the fly. Also, undersampling is a problem even with large data sets. However, some useful reference points can be deduced. One important count is the number of monomorphic (or root) words in an individual’s vocabulary. This set provides the basis for phonological generalizations about within-word phonotactics and prosodic patterns. Lexical items containing internal word boundaries, such as peppermint or matchless, show word-boundary phonotactics even if they have idiosyncratic semantics. Data from Anglin (1993), as graphed by Vihman (1996), indicate that English-speaking first graders (age 6 to 7) have a receptive vocabulary of about 2,500 root words, and by fifth grade (age 10 to 11) this number has grown to approximately 6,000. According to a tabulation made in the Phonetics Laboratory at Ohio State University, the number of monomorphic words in CELEX is 11,381. As discussed in Pierrehumbert 2001b, this is a quite comprehensive list which probably exceeds the monomorphic inventory of any single individual. For phonological constraints over monomorphic words, probabilities of less than \( \frac{1}{10000} \) are effectively zero (since the expected count in a sample of size 10,000 would be zero). Any phonological constraints that are learned early in life need to be learnable on much smaller data sets, a point to which I return below.

For other phonological processes, such as stress patterns and morphophonological alternations, a larger vocabulary is relevant. Anglin (1993) estimates that English-speaking fifth graders know 25,000 root words plus derived words and idioms. (Productive and unproductive derivation do not appear to be distinguished in this estimate.) A detailed study by Nagy and Anderson (1984) yields the estimate that printed school English includes approximately 89,000 word “families.” This number includes as distinct entries words that are morphologically complex but that have moderately to extremely opaque semantics. For example, in this study, collarbone is distinct from collar. However, the authors assume that senselessly can be productively derived from senseless and stringy can be derived from string. The existence of 89,000 word families in the entire corpus of printed school English does not mean that any individual child knows all the words. Examples provided include words such as solenoid, hornswoggle, and ammeter, and it appears improbable that any single school child knows all of them; discussion draws attention to the ability to read materials containing some unknown words. The authors estimate
that the total number of words known by a high school senior ranges from 25,000 to 50,000. From figures such as these, we can infer that an adult’s total vocabulary is an order of magnitude larger than the adult’s vocabulary of monomorphemic words, but probably less than two orders of magnitude larger. If a statistical inference is so delicate that it cannot confidently be made with a lexicon of around 100,000 words, there is no way for learners to make it and its cognitive status is highly dubious. If a probability over this set is <0.0005, it is effectively zero.

6.4 Discrimination and Robustness

We have just seen that a full-blown adult lexicon, though large, is still not large enough for grammatical constraints of arbitrary subtlety to be deduced from it. In practice, the limits on grammatical subtlety are much more severe. First, children learn much of phonology at a young age, before they have large vocabularies. Second, different children have different vocabularies, and adults likewise. The commonplace observation that the grammar can be learned from poor levels of language exposure amounts to saying that it can be learned from a severe downsampling of the maximal data set, and it can be learned equally well from different downsamples of the data set. The fact that people with different language exposure can end up with essentially the same grammar also means that language acquisition is resistant to outliers (or statistically anomalous events). A statistically anomalous hurricane may destroy a large city, but early exposure to the word Ladefoged does not destroy a child’s stress system. These marvels of the acquisition system amount to the claim that language is statistically robust. In this section, I develop the idea of robustness further and show how it constrains the nature of the phonological system.

6.4.1 Robustness in Categorization

Statistical robustness has been most explored in relation to categorization of the phonetic space. Vowel inventories have provided a particularly fruitful subtopic because of the ease with which the relevant phonetic dimensions can be conceptualized and manipulated. Accordingly, I resume discussion of the perception and production of phonetic categories in connection with the /i/-/e/ example of figure 6.2.

In figure 6.5, idealized distributions for /i/ and /e/ have been plotted on the assumption that the underlying distributions are Gaussian and that
Figure 6.5
Relationship of two competing categories having idealized Gaussian distributions over the phonetic space. (a) 200-Hz separation of means. Low variance leads to little overlap of the distributions. (b) 100-Hz separation leads to more overlap. (c) Greater variance also leads to more overlap.
both categories have been so abundantly experienced that the mental representations approach the true underlying distributions. Thus, the distributions in figure 6.5 are smooth instead of showing individual spikes for memory traces. Three cases are compared. In figure 6.5(a), the means of the distributions differ by 200 Hz and there is slight overlap between the two vowels. In figure 6.5(b), the distributions have been shifted so they differ by only 100 Hz. In figure 6.5(c), the means are as just separate as in figure 6.5(a), but each category has more variability so there is more overlap.

Since the distributions are smooth, the classification of an incoming token at any point can be read off the graph by seeing which distribution line lies above the other at that location on the x-axis. Figure 6.6 shows how this works by reproducing figure 6.5(c) with additional annotations. The listener is attempting to classify a stimulus at the F2 location indicated by the arrow. The line for the label /i/ is above that for /e/, so /i/ is the most probable label. It is immediately obvious that given the distribution type under consideration, there is some F2 value such that any stimulus with an F2 value above this value will be classified as /i/, and any stimulus with an F2 value below this value will be classified as /e/. This cutoff is defined by the intersection of the two distributions and is
Figure 6.6
Figure 6.5(c), with the discrimination threshold indicated

shown with a vertical dashed line in the figure. Luce, Bush, and Galanter (1963a) provide a mathematical treatment of classification situations in which a threshold or cutoff is well defined.

For the situation shown in figure 6.6, the result is that a sizable region of the /e/ production space will be heard as /i/, and a certain region of the /i/ production space will be heard as /e/. The extent of such confusions would be exactly the same for figure 6.5(b). Although the separation of the means is half as much in figure 6.5(b) as in figure 6.6, the distributions are also narrower and the degree to which the distributions overlap is (by design) exactly the same. To achieve reliable discrimination, in which the intention of the speaker can be recovered by the listener with very little chance of error, we need the situation displayed in figure 6.5(a). Here, the separation of the means of the distribution is large in relation to their width.

Discrimination is not the same as statistical significance. As the quantity of data contributing to the distributions in figure 6.5(b) or 6.5(c) increases toward infinity, the difference in the means of these distributions can become as statistically significant as one could wish. That is, if the two labels are given, we can become more and more sure, scientifically speaking, that the distributions for these labels are not the same. But no
amount of certainty on this point improves the situation with respect to
discrimination of the categories when classifying an unknown incoming
token. In a similar vein, thanks to millions of test takers, it is extremely
certain that the distribution of scores on the verbal portion of the SAT is
slightly higher for women than for men. (The SAT is a standardized test
administered to high school students applying to university in the United
States.) However, since this difference is slight compared to the variation
of scores for each gender, knowing someone's verbal SAT score does not
permit one to deduce that person's gender with any reliability.

Statistical discrimination plays a central role in theories of the phonetic
foundations of phonology, in particular pioneering work by Lindblom
and colleagues on adaptive dispersion theory for vowels (see Liljencrants
and Lindblom 1972; Lindblom 1986; Lindblom 1990). The model is
predicated on the understanding that the language system requires robust
discrimination of categories in order to guarantee the integrity of com-
munication. Given that speech production and perception are intrinsically
variable, this characteristic places bounds on the number of categories
that can be maintained over the same space, as well as constraining their
optimal arrangement with respect to each other. The effects are seen both
in segmental inventories and in the way speakers manipulate the vowel
space in continuous speech, making the minimal effort needed to main-
tain sufficient contrast. One consequence is that total phonetic space will
be underutilized in any particular language, since accuracy of discrimina-
tion depends on statistical troughs like that in figure 6.5(a). Other
researchers who have applied adaptive dispersion theory include Eng-
strand and Krull (1994), who demonstrate reduced durational variance
for vowels in languages in which vowel length is distinctive, and Miller-
Ockhuizen and Sands (2000), who use the model to explain phonetic
details of clicks in two related languages with different click inventories.

The equations of adaptive dispersion theory treat perceptual discrimi-
nability (contrastiveness) synoptically as a direct pressure on produc-
tions. The same effects can also be approached in terms of how category
systems evolve over time, because discrimination is related to the stability
of the systems as they evolve. Assuming that distributions are used in a
perception-production loop, as discussed in section 6.7, the classification
of stimuli in perception provides data for the probability distributions
controlling production. (The productions of one individual provide per-
ceptual data for another individual, so that the perception-production
loop goes through members of the speech community.) Situations such as
depicted in figures 6.5(b) and 6.5(c) are intrinsically unstable over
time, because in any region of the phonetic space where the distribu-
tions overlap, productions of the less likely label will be misclassified in
perception.

Assuming only that the probability distributions are updated with per-
ceptual data (an assumption needed to model how phonetic learning can
occur in the first place), there are two major outcomes, which depend on
the degree of distributional overlap in relation to the random variation in
the perception and production processes. One outcome is that the two
distributions sharpen up, each on its own side of the discrimination cut-
off. The other is that the distribution for the less frequent label gets
entirely eaten up by the distribution for the more frequent label, as each
fresh misclassification enhances the latter's frequency advantage. This
results in a collapse of the category system. Pierrehumbert (2001a) pre-
sents in detail the case of loss of contrast through a historical lenition
process. A small but systematic lenition bias in production of the marked
(less frequent) member of a contrast eventually results in its collapse with
the more frequent member. Note that both of the major outcomes are
characterized by a distinct distributional peak for each category label.
Thus, consideration of the stability and robustness of categories over time
provides a basis for the observations of adaptive dispersion theory, as
well as observations made by Kornai (1998), Maye and Gerken (2000),
and Maye, Werker, and Gerken (in press). Well-defined clusters or peaks
in phonetic distributions support stable categories, and poor peaks do
not. The same line of reasoning is convincingly applied in De Boer's
(2000) modeling of vowel systems.

Such observations also have important implications for our under-
standing of the abstractness of phonetic encoding. The calculations
presented by Lindblom, Miller-Ockhuizen, Kornai, Maye, Gerken, and
Werker all deal with phonemes in a fixed context. Thus, they do not
clearly differentiate phonemes (which are equivalenced across different
contexts) from positional allophones. However, distributions of phonetic
outcomes in continuous speech have revealed many cases in which the
realization of one phoneme in one context is extremely similar or even
identical to that of some other phoneme in another context. For example,
Pierrehumbert and Talkin (1992) show that the distribution of vocal fold
abduction (or breathiness) for /h/ overlaps that of vowels when both are
tabulated out of context; when tabulated relative to context, there are just
a few sporadic cases of overlap. Overlap of devoiced allophones of /z/ with /s/ is discussed in Pierrehumbert 1993. Cases such as these mean that parametric distributions for phonemes are not always well distinguished from each other, if they are tabulated without regard to context. Within each given context, the distributions are much better distinguished. Thus, positional allophones appear to be a more viable level of abstraction for the phonetic encoding system than phonemes in the classic sense. Further implications follow from cases in which the phonemes are well distinguished in one context but not in another. These situations favor positional neutralizations. Steriade (1993) explores this concept in connection with stop consonants, demonstrating neutralization in contexts in which the stop release is missing. Flemming (1995) extends this line of reasoning in the framework of Optimality Theory.

Category discriminability also has important ramifications in sociolinguistics. An important set of studies (Labov, Karen, and Miller 1991; Faber and DiPaolo 1995) deals with cases of near-merger, in which the phonetic distributions of two categories have become heavily overlapped (owing to historical changes and/or dialect variation) but a statistically significant difference is still observable in productions. A case in point is the near-merger between ferry and furry in Philadelphia English, as discussed by Labov, Karen, and Miller (1991). A surprising behavioral finding is that subjects whose productions display an acoustic difference between the vowels of such word pairs are unable to distinguish these words at above chance levels. This is true even if they are listening to their own speech.

In the class of model I have been discussing, it is to be expected that discrimination in perception will be worse than the discrimination analysis that the scientist can carry out on objective acoustic measures. This is the case because of intrinsic noise in the perceptual system. The scientist can carry out a statistically optimal analysis on a microphone signal of the highest quality, whereas the perceptual system is limited by the critical bands of the auditory encoding, by the background noise from blood rushing through the ears, and so forth. However, it is also the case in this model that it is impossible to acquire a phonetic distinction that one cannot perceive. The subjects in the near-merger study must have been able to perceive a difference between ferry and furry at the time their production patterns were being established. Otherwise, the labeling and phonetic distributions needed to produce such a difference would never have been acquired. The model predicts that whenever a contrast becomes truly
imperceptible in a language, the contrast will collapse and this collapse will be irreversible. This prediction is borne out by Labov's (1994) assertion that total neutralizations are never reversed. Apparent cases of reversal can be traced to the survival of the distinction in some context. This can be a social context, as in cases in which a contrast is reimported into the speech community from a dialect or an influx of borrowings. Or the contrast may survive in some other context within the cognitive system, as when a contrast is reimported from a morphologically rich orthographic system. Similarly, detailed phonetic studies reveal that morphological relatives can serve this role through paradigm uniformity effects at the allophonic level. A case in point is Port, Mitleb, and O'Dell's (1981) study of incomplete neutralization of obstructant voicing in German.

The failure of Labov, Karen, and Miller's (1991) subjects to perceive a distinction in their own speech thus requires more explanation, assuming that this failure is more severe than perceptual encoding noise alone would predict. The levels of representation I have outlined provide an avenue of explanation. The task carried out by Labov, Karen, and Miller's subjects was a word judgment task, hence involved access to the lexicon. Thus, one must consider not only the phonetic encoding in perception, but also the relationship of this encoding to the stored word-form. If subjects have learned, from exposure to the varied dialect community of Philadelphia, that vowel quality information does not reliably distinguish ferry and furry, then they can downweight this information as a perceptual cue in lexical access. They learn not to pay attention to a cue they cannot rely on. This interpretation of the situation is borne out by a related study by Schulman (1983) on the perception of a sit, set, sat, sot continuum by bilingual Swedish-English speakers from Lyksele. The speakers were unable to hear the set, sat distinction when experimental instructions were delivered in Swedish, a language in which dialect diversity renders the distinction unreliable. However, they could hear the distinction when instructions were delivered in English. In short, this study indicates that the attentional weighting of different phonetic cues is not an entrenched feature of the cognitive system; instead, it can be varied according to the speech situation.

6.4.2 Robustness of Phonological Constraints

Statistical robustness is a well-established and major theme of the literature on phonetic encoding. Less widely acknowledged is the fact that it
also plays an important role at more abstract levels of representation. In fact, the small size of the lexicon, in comparison with the huge body of experienced speech, places severe limits on statistical inference over word-forms as well as correspondences between word-forms.

This issue is taken up by Pierrehumbert (2001b), in a set of pilot calculations addressing the issue of why known phonological constraints are so coarse grained. Though a DOP approach would permit us to construct a huge proliferation of phonological templates using the logical resources of phonology, the constraints that appear to be psychologically real by any test are relatively simple and nondetailed. Note in particular that they are simple in comparison to the lexical representations of individual words, as we have already seen from the comparison of lexical neighborhood effects with phonotactic effects.

The method used in this study was a Monte Carlo simulation of vocabulary acquisition; hypothetical vocabularies of different individuals at different levels of development were estimated by random sampling of the 11,381 monomorphemic words in CELEX. Since the goal was to discover the constraints on within-word sequences, morphologically complex words liable to contain internal word boundaries are excluded from this training set. The sampling was weighted by word frequency, on the assumption that an individual is more likely to learn a frequent word than an infrequent one. Inventories for 20 “individuals” at each vocabulary level—400, 800, 1,600, 3,200, and 6,400 words—were computed. The vocabulary level needed to learn a given constraint reliably was determined by inspecting these individual vocabularies to see whether the constraint was actually manifested for most or all individuals. The study compared the learnability of two real phonological constraints of English to that of two unrealistic constraints that are considerably more detailed.

The realistic phonological constraints of English are the constraint on foot alignment in the word and a constraint set governing word-medial nasal-obstruent clusters. The first refers to the fact that the basically trochaic foot structure of English is extended to trisyllables by aligning the foot to the left edge rather than the right edge of the word. The result is a 100 stress pattern (as in parity) rather than the 010 stress pattern found in some other languages. The treatment of nasal-obstruent clusters is taken from the detailed experimental study by Hay, Pierrehumbert, and Beckman (in press). This study, also discussed above, showed that the nasal-obstruent constraints are part of the speaker’s implicit knowledge and
that perceived well-formedness is gradiently related to the frequency of the different clusters.

One of the unrealistic constraints evaluated in this study is a hypothetical constraint that combines the 100 stress template with the nasal-obstruent regularities. That is, the study asks whether it is reasonable for a language to have different nasal-obstruent constraints for trisyllabic words with a specific stress pattern. The other unrealistic constraint involves the statistical pattern studied by Moreton (1997). Moreton’s experiment looked for a phonemic bias effect as a corollary of a difference in probability, comparing word-final stressed /ɡri/ as in degree and word-final stressed /kri/ as in decree. /ɡri/ is much more common than /kri/ in running speech, chiefly because of the high frequency of the word agree. There is also a contrast in type frequency between the two patterns, but it is much smaller. This example was selected because Moreton obtained a null result in his experiment—one of the few cases anywhere in the experimental literature for a negative finding on the psychological reality of a statistical phonotactic pattern.

The calculations showed that the 100 stress pattern is extremely robust, learnable by all 20 individuals from a mere 400 words. A rank order of five nasal-obstruent combinations could be reliably learned from 3,200 words, a vocabulary level realistically achievable by an elementary-school child (see above). The two unrealistic constraints were not reliably learnable even at a vocabulary level of 6,400 monomorphemic words. The fact that Moreton’s subjects had not (apparently) learned the /ɡri/-/kri/ constraint is therefore unsurprising.

Pierrehumbert (2001b) views vocabulary levels in terms of stage in language acquisition. The same line of reasoning, however, leads to the prediction that adult speakers with different vocabulary levels should have somewhat different grammars. In particular, adults with large vocabularies should be in a better position to estimate low, but nonzero, probabilities. This prediction is borne out by Frisch et al. (2001), who found that adults with large vocabularies have a more generous threshold for viewing nonce forms as acceptable, and who present calculations showing that this effect, for their stimuli, cannot be attributed to lexical neighborhood effects.

A new series of calculations extends this line of reasoning by exploring phonemic n-phones more systematically. The nasal-obstruent sequences contain two phonemes, hence are diphones. Even disregarding the positional information, /ɡri/ and /kri/ are triphones. Bailey and Hahn’s
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(2001) study of lexical neighborhood densities and phonotactic statistics, discussed above, systematically evaluated the role of diphone statistics in comparison to triphone statistics. Diphone statistics were an important predictor of wordlikeness judgments, but triphone statistics did not add any predictive power beyond that provided by diphone statistics. The goal of the present calculations was to determine the extent to which diphone and triphone constraints on the internal form of words can or must be learned from the lexicon. If triphone constraints are not learnable as such, then the well-formedness of a triphone must be estimated from the well-formedness of its subparts. Under this assumption, the well-formedness of the /str/ sequence in *street would follow from the well-formedness of /st/ and /tr/; the ill-formedness of /stl/ in *stleet would follow from its ill-formed subpart /tl/. If triphones are generally and necessarily parsed in terms of their subparts, then triphone statistics would add no predictive power in a model of perceived well-formedness, just as Bailey and Hahn report.

The calculations were again made on the CELEX monomorphemes. The transcription set contains 37 phonemes, disregarding 11 examples of distinctively nasalized vowels in French borrowings. The transcribed distinction between syllabic and nonsyllabic sonorant consonants was also disregarded on the grounds that it is predictable from sonority sequencing. When these are sonority peaks in their sequence (as in apple), they are syllabic; otherwise not (as in milk). This means that the full cross product of the phonemes, providing the baseline against which constraints must be evaluated, generates 1,369 different diphones and 50,653 triphones.

Basic counts show the general feasibility of training word-internal diphone statistics from the inventory of extant monomorphemes. The 11,381 CELEX monomorphemes display 51,257 tokens of diphones. Thus, the data set is 37 times bigger than the constraint set that the learner is attempting to parameterize. Downsampling the data set to 3,200 words (the number around which nasal-obstruent constraints began to be reliably learnable) still yields a ratio of approximately 10 data points per triphone, on the average. This is an order of magnitude more data than constraint set parameters, and it is equivalent to the count that permitted *CCC to be detected at p < .05 in the tutorial example above.

The learnability situation is quite different when we look at the triphones. There are fewer triphones per word than diphones. For example, a four-phoneme word contains two triphones (namely, those starting at
the first and second phonemic positions) but three diphones. Thus, there are only 39,877 examples of triphones in the training set. Meanwhile, the candidate constraint set has exploded to 50,653 different forms. This leaves an average of less than one data point per constraint parameter, even on the implausible assumption that the whole vocabulary is available to the young language learner. Even the number of triphones that actually occur at least once in the monomorphemic inventory—namely, 5,414—is large in relation to children’s level of lexical knowledge. In short, it is mathematically impossible to assign probabilities to triphones generally, on the basis of knowledge of monomorphemic words. If the general assumptions presented here are valid, then it should be possible to predict the well-formedness of triphones from more general constraints, which are learnable from the available data.

This raises the issue of how well triphones may be predicted from their diphone subparts. We can look at this issue in two ways. First, we compute the expected count of each triphone in an inventory the actual size of the training set (see table 6.2). To do this, for each triphone P1P2P3, we append P3 to P1P2 with the conditional probability of P3 following P2 in a diphone. If this expected count (rounded to the nearest integer) is at least one, then the triphone is expected to occur. If it rounds to zero, the triphone is expected to be absent. The table breaks out these counts according to whether the triphone is or is not exemplified in the data set. This prediction is quite successful. Out of 45,239 triphones that fail to occur, 42,776, or 94%, are predicted to be absent from the rarity of their subparts. Incorrect predictions constitute only 6.6% of the data. Not revealed by the table is the fact that these cases are overwhelmingly ones in which the count was predicted to be zero and is actually one, or vice versa—in short, cases that sit right on the threshold applied in setting up the table.

A way of viewing the data that overcomes the thresholding issue and also provides more insight into relative well-formedness is to plot the actual rate of occurrence of triphones against their expected rate of occurrence, as shown in figure 6.7. In figure 6.7, the triphones have been ranked by expected count and 10 bins of expected count are established. Within each bin, the median actual rate of occurrence is plotted along with upper and lower quartiles. Overall, the actual rate of occurrence is shown to be strongly related to the expected rate of occurrence. The fact that the relationship is not perfect arises both from sampling issues (the actual lexicon can be viewed as a sampling of the potential lexicon) and,
Table 6.2
Existence and absence of triphones in a data set in relation to expected counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Exist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted to be absent</td>
<td>42,776</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted to exist</td>
<td>2,463</td>
<td>4,522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.7
Quartiles of observed counts for triphones, plotted against median count expected from diphone frequencies

more importantly, from the fact that triphones are subject to additional constraints beyond the biphone conditions. An example is the OCP constraint disfavoring C1C2C1 combinations, as discussed above.

Comparing the diphone situation to that of triphones reveals that it is not only possible, but also necessary, to learn constraints on diphones rather than estimating their likelihood from their (phone) subparts. Table 6.3, constructed along the same lines as the triphone table 6.2, shows that only a few of the absent diphones are predicted to be absent on the basis of phone frequencies alone. Of the 122 diphones that are predicted to exist under the null hypothesis, 44% are unattested. This outcome is not surprising, since the null hypothesis does not take into account the pattern of sonority alternation that imposes a syllabic rhythm on the speech stream. One consequence is that a pair of identical high-frequency...
phonemes is predicted to be frequent: because of the high frequency of /h/, the sequence /hn/ is expected to be the most frequent combination of all, whereas in fact it is impossible. Most of the numerous unexplained absences in this table arise from factors that a linguist would view as systematic.

For attested diphones, phoneme frequencies are also rather poor at predicting the rate of occurrence. This is indicated in figure 6.8, constructed along the same lines as figure 6.7. Though diphones containing frequent phonemes are on the average more frequent, the spread around this trend is much greater than in figure 6.7. In particular, the lower quartile remains almost at zero all the way up to the highest expected count.

In the absence of additional evidence, it is not possible to conclude that all triphones are necessarily evaluated via their subparts. The highest-frequency triphones have counts in the three hundreds, amply sufficient to support an evaluation of their observed frequency relative to the expected frequency from a diphone grammar. It is possible that some triphones do acquire probabilities in their own right. The initial triphone of *advent* occurs in 7 words despite having a predicted rate of occurrence of zero. The final triphone of *raft* occurs in 13 words despite having a predicted rate of occurrence of one. Possibly, people may have some implicit knowledge of these facts; but it would be difficult to demonstrate that any such knowledge goes beyond lexical neighborhood effects. However, in inspecting the list of predicted and attested triphones, it was surprisingly difficult to find these examples, as cases of blatantly overrepresented triphones are few. The mere assumption that the lexicon is a random sampling of a bigger universe of possible words means that it would exhibit some random variation in the over- and underrepresentation of particular combinations. It is not known whether cases of this type are treated as within-the-noise by the cognitive system, or whether they are remembered as important.

The fact that triphones are an unpromising field for large-scale phonological constraints also points up the importance of investigating large-scale constraint candidates that are closer to the fruits of linguistic scholarship. In the linguistic literature, constraints with a larger temporal scale (such as vowel harmony constraints and foot structure constraints) generally have the property of referring to classes of phonemes rather than to individual phonemes, if they refer to phonemes at all. As far as trainability goes, an increase in temporal scale is offset by a decrease in
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Table 6.3
Existence and absence of diphones in a data set in relation to expected counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Exist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted to be absent</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted to exist</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.8
Quartiles of observed counts for diphones, plotted against median count expected from phoneme frequencies

featural specificity. As the results on 100 versus 010 stress patterns show, such constraints can be extremely robust.

The nonlearnability of triphones at the level of the phonological grammar contrasts sharply with the amount of detail people learn about specific words. Even the most categorical description of a good-sized word, such as *ambrosia* or *budgerigar*, is highly complex compared to any known phonological constraint, indicating that brute cognitive limits on representational complexity are not the issue. Moreover, cases discussed above in relation to word-specific allophonic detail and subphonemic morphophonological paradigm effects show that the representations of words can be extremely detailed. This detail can be acquired because reasonably frequent words are encountered so many times in speech. Counts in Carterette and Jones's (1974) tabulation of conversational
speech by adults and children show that the most frequent triphonemic function words (such as *was* and *what*) are up to 50 times as frequent in speech as triconsonantal syllable onsets such as */spr/*. A word with a frequency of six per million (a threshold above which word frequency effects are experimentally established, clearly demonstrating long-term storage) would show up approximately once per 15 hours of speech, assuming an average rate of three words per second. Although people can learn the existence of new words from very few examples, once they have learned a word, they have plenty of evidence to fine-tune its representation.

6.5 Correlations across Levels

The previous discussion emphasizes the need to distinguish levels of representation, each with its own statistical effects. This is reminiscent of the modularity claims of classical generative theory. However, there are important differences. In the generative approach, the task of setting up modules is viewed as a task of partitioning the system into maximally independent components. Each module is as stripped down as possible. In evaluating specific theoretical proposals, any observed redundancy between information encoded in one module and information encoded in another is viewed as a weakness. However, it has proved impossible to eliminate redundancy across modules. The present approach, in contrast, uses rich representations (as Baayen, this volume, also discusses). Correlations across levels of representation are viewed as a necessary consequence of the way that more abstract levels are projected from less abstract levels. Some of these correlations are extremely obvious and would show up in any current approach. For example, in essentially all current approaches, the phonological entities manipulated in the grammar and morphophonology are viewed as contentful; their behavior reflects their general phonetic character as it percolates up the system through successive levels of abstraction.

Some other examples of confluence across levels are far less obvious and reveal subtle properties of human language.

One nonobvious correlation concerns the relationship of the phonetic encoding system to the phonological grammar. It is known that children can use statistical cues to decompose the speech stream into chunks at a very early age, before they know that words refer to objects and events (see Jusczyk, Luce, and Charles-Luce 1994; Mattys et al. 1999). Low-frequency transitions are taken to be boundaries, and these transition
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frequencies must evidently be estimated using surface statistics, since type
statistics would depend on a lexicon, which the child has not yet formed.
Luckily, these surface (or token) statistics on diphones are highly corre-
lated with type statistics in the lexicon. In general, phoneme combinations
that are infrequent in running speech are also infrequent word-internally
in the lexicon. This means that a low-frequency phoneme transition is a
viable cue to the possible existence of a word boundary. As Hay (2000)
points out, it is possible to design a formal language in which this corre-
lation does not obtain. Imagine, for example, that a language had an
invariant start phoneme for words (say, /t/) and an invariant stop pho-
neme (say, /k/), so that every word began with /t/ and ended with /k/.
In this case, the combination /kt/ would be extremely common in running
speech, but would nonetheless be the cue to the presence of a word
boundary. Human languages do not have this property. Instead, they
show maximal contrast sets in word-initial position, and the proliferation
of alternatives at word onsets leads to low-frequency combinations across
the word boundary. The confluence between type frequency and token
frequency thus supports bootstrapping of the system during language
acquisition and smooths the communication between levels in the mature
system.

A second important case of confluence brings together the issues in
phonetic categorization and n-phone statistics that were discussed above.
A substantial body of work in phonetics shows that the acoustic land-
marks provided by transitions between the physical regimes of the vocal
tract play a key role in forming discriminable categories. For example,
at an obstructant-vowel transition, an aerodynamic factor (the buildup of
pressure during the stop closure) conspires with an acoustic factor (a
jump in the number of resonances as the vocal tract moves from a closed
to an open position) and a psychoacoustic factor (the way the ear adjusts
its sensitivity to changes in amplitude). These factors together mean that
obstruent-vowel transitions are perceptually salient and reliably distin-
guished from each other, a point developed in more detail in acoustic
landmark theory (Stevens 1998). Steriade (1993) provides a typological
survey showing the ramifications of this phonetic situation with regard to
stops. Transitions between segments are also important in production,
since coproduction of adjacent segments can result in substantial mod-
ifications of their realizations, including occlusions and gestural reorga-
nizations. Such phenomena are one of the main topics of Articulatory
Phonology as developed by Browman and Goldstein (1986, 1992).
The connection made in Steriade's work between phonetic information and phonological sequencing is made in a more broad-based way by the importance of diphone statistics in phonotactics. Any phonological diphone implicitly defines a phonetic transition, which has the potential to be a dynamic cue in speech perception. Some of these transitions are very robust from an encoding standpoint, and others are fragile. Fragility can arise either because the transition lacks perceptual landmarks or because it lies in an unstable region of the phonetic control space and yields excessively variable outcomes. Thus, there is as much reason for a language to selectively utilize the universe of possible transitions as to selectively utilize the phonetic space in its phoneme inventory. The learnability of diphone statistics thus delineates a confluence among the phonetic space, the inventory size, the size of the lexicon, and the complexity of the grammar.

Much recent work in stochastic Optimality Theory takes a different stance on correlations across levels of representation. For example, Flemming's (1995), Kirchner's (1997), and Boersma's (1998) response to correlations has been to dissolve the boundaries between levels by treating all effects through a single Optimality Theory grammar with a single constraint ranking. The Gradual Learning Algorithm (GLA) of Boersma (1998) and Boersma and Hayes (2001) is the most mathematically elaborated model of this type, and so it is the one I will discuss here.

The conceptual core of stochastic Optimality Theory is morphophonological alternations that are by-products of general phonological constraints. A landmark paper by Anttila (available in 1994 and published in 1997) undertook to explain variability in the form of the Finnish genitive plural as a response to constraints on metrical prominence, word stress, and metrical alternation, all of which are active in the language generally. Anttila hypothesizes that the variability in outcome for the genitive plural arises because some constraints are unranked. On each individual instance of word production, a specific constraint ranking is imposed through a random selection of ranking for unranked constraints. Depending on which constraint ranks ahead of the others on that specific trial, one or another of the surface goals takes priority in selecting the form on that particular occasion.

A major extension of this approach is the GLA model of Boersma (1998) and Boersma and Hayes (2001). In this model, constraints are ranked on a real-valued scale. Each individual constraint has a Gaussian distribution of ranking values on this scale. The mean of the distribution
is incrementally learned through language exposure, and the variance is
taken to be constant. The exact nature of the training algorithm has
important repercussions for the overall behavior of the model. After a
careful evaluation of statistical robustness and stability, Boersma pro-
posed a training algorithm in which each individual extant word causes
downward adjustment of all constraints it violates. The result is that the
model is much closer to a standard stochastic grammar than would at
first appear; phonological templates that are abundantly instantiated in
the training set end up being highly favored by the grammar, and those
that are poorly instantiated end up being disfavored. Thus, the rankings
of constraints closely track the frequency values that would be assigned to
the same constraints in some stochastic grammars.

This model permits much finer tracking of probability distributions
for different outcomes than Anttila’s model was capable of. Indeed, the
model has such general mathematical power that the perceptual classifi-
cation phenomena introduced in section 6.2 can be expressed in the
model by positing large (in fact, arbitrarily large) constraint families that
describe arbitrarily fine regions of the parametric space. Fine-tuning of
constraint rankings has the effect of performing the metric calculations of
a standard psychoacoustic model. Conceptually, then, phonetic encoding
is raised into the phonological grammar by treating the parametric pho-
netic space as if it were a very large set of categories.

Morphophonological correspondences are also folded down onto the
same constraint ranking. The need to express paradigm uniformity effects
is generally accepted in Optimality Theory and is addressed by corre-
spondence and sympathy constraints (see McCarthy and Prince 1995;
McCarty 1999). A more challenging case is presented by morphophon-
ological correspondences that are unnatural in the sense of Anderson
(1981). A case in point is the alternation of /k/ with /s/ in English word
pairs such as electric, electricity, electricism. Though this alternation
(velar softening) has a historical basis in a series of phonetic natural
reductions, it is not natural as it stands. If /s/ were in any sense an
unmarked pronunciation of /k/ before a schwa, then it should be more
frequent than /k/ before schwa generally. According to CELEX, how-
ever, /s/ is less than half as frequent as /k/ before schwa in the lexicon as
a whole. Furthermore, any phonetic generalization that pressured /k/ in
the direction of /s/ should also tend to fricate /t/. However, in the cases in
which /t/ appears before one of the triggering affixes, it remains a stop:
magnet, magnetism, Jesuit, Jesuitism, mute, mutism.
The /k/~s/ alternation is nonetheless productive, as we would predict from statistics over the relevant universe. CELEX shows 72 word-pairs involving a base form ending in /k/ with a related form ending in -ism or -ity. Velar softening is found in all of them. Such a perfect regularity is expected to be extended, and a recent pilot experiment indicates that this is the case. Subjects were led to believe that the experiment concerned the (semantic) choice among various affixes that turn adjectives into abstract nouns. They completed 18 discourse fragments such as the following, involving affixation on a novel stem. Baseline sentences and fillers were also included.

(3) Janet is criotic about environmental issues. Her ????? manifests itself in avid involvement in environmental groups.

All six subjects softened the /k/ to /s/ in every single case in which they selected the suffix -ity or -ism over the semantic competitor -ness.

This outcome can be captured in the GLA model in the following way. (I am grateful to Paul Boersma (personal communication) for suggesting the specifics of this analysis.) A constraint disfavoring /k/ before -ity and -ism becomes extremely highly ranked as the learner encounters words such as electricity. At the same time, Universal Grammar is presumed to supply a universal set of constraints disfavoring replacement of any phoneme with any other phoneme (e.g., disfavoring phonemic changes for a full cross product of the phonemes). The constraint disfavoring replacement of /k/ with /s/ comes to be ranked low as the learner encounters words in which the replacement has occurred. /t/ is unaffected, since the key constraint targets /k/ only, not voiceless stops in general. Once the constraint rankings have been learned, the same replacement will occur in any novel form.

Thus, the price of folding unnatural morphophonological correspondences into the phonological grammar is splitting the correspondences into unrelated constraint pairs, which are ranked separately. Probabilities are not directly encoded on correspondences; rather, they indirectly affect the state of the grammar through incremental training.

Thus, the GLA model is very powerful. It can encode statistical regularities at all levels, from phonetic encoding up through morphophonological correspondences. For regularities that are either more or less abstract than the level of its core strengths, some researchers might find the encoding to be indirect and inspicious. In particular, the treatment
of phonetic encoding appears to eschew the well-established resources of mathematical psychology.

In the GLA model, all constraints are at the same level and all constraint rankings are trained on the same data set. Thus, the connection drawn above between the granularity of constraints and the size of the effective training set does not appear to be available. In the model presented above, the probability distributions for different parts of the system are established directly from experience, and thus non-Gaussian distributions will be automatically discovered. Phonetics, like many other physical processes, provides examples of skewed distributions relating to physical nonlinearities and saturations (see, e.g., Duarte et al. 2001, where it is shown that the distribution of consonantal interval durations in speech is skewed and obeys a gamma distribution, for many different languages). In contrast, distributions arising from repeated independent decisions (as in coin flipping or a forced-choice experiment) tend to be Gaussian. Since the assumption of Gaussian distributions is critical to the mathematical tractability of the model, the existence of non-Gaussian distributions appears to be problematic. The GLA model also does not distinguish effects relating to type frequency from effects relating to surface, or token, frequency. It also provides no way to downweight the grammatical impact of extremely frequent words, as Bybee (2001) and Bailey and Hahn (2001) show to be necessary.

In the presentation above, I have suggested that well-formedness judgments represent a decision with weighted inputs from two levels, the lexicon and a score established by the phonological grammar as the likelihood of the best parse. Well-formedness judgments come about differently in the GLA model. Boersma and Hayes (2001) propose that they arise from the likelihood that the given word would emerge as such under repeated runs of the grammar. The word is judged as poor if it would often be modified to some better form on repeated trials. This kind of virtual reality calculation is available in a closed form (e.g., without actually running the grammar many times) because of the simplifying assumptions of the model. The idea is applied with some success in an experiment on morphophonological alternation in Tagalog reported by Zuraw (2000).

This assumption is problematic for phonotactic judgments, which have made up most of the literature. Three experiments cited above show high accuracy rates for some sequences that are relatively infrequent and are
judged as poor. The transcription data reported by Hay, Pierrehumbert, and Beckman (in press) revealed that rates of correction of unusual clusters depended both on the cluster frequency and on the existence of an acoustically similar competitor. Rare clusters without a similar competitor were rated low but not corrected often, even though they were judged as poor. In an imitation experiment, Munson (2001) also found that error rates in adult productions were not significantly different for infrequent and frequent clusters, though frequency did affect wordlikeness judgments. This outcome also occurred in the adult baseline data for Zamuner, Gerken, and Hammond's (2001) acquisition study. Results such as these follow from the assumption that small phonetic effects can pile up over time in shaping the lexicon, which in turn shapes the grammar. With the lexicon standing between the phonetics and the grammar, it is possible for low rates of phonetic instability to coexist with strong lexical statistics. The view of the lexicon presented here also allows lexical neighborhood effects to affect well-formedness judgments. The observed combination of factors is not captured in the GLA model, in which well-formedness judgments are based on the grammar alone.

I have discussed the GLA in this much detail because it is by far the most coherent and comprehensive proposal to fold effects at different levels, from phonetic encoding up through morphophonological correspondences, into a single grammar. Its successes provide further evidence for the importance of stochastic grammars and robust learning algorithms to our understanding of phonology. Its specific weaknesses reveal the general weaknesses of responding to confluences across levels by conflating them.

6.6 Conclusion

In conclusion, entities at all levels of representation in phonetics and phonology display statistical variation. A wide assortment of behaviors reveals that speakers have implicit knowledge of this variation. It is relevant to speech processing, where it affects perceptual classification as well as speed and accuracy in perception and production. It is also reflected in long-term properties of the system, such as allophonic outcomes and the compositionality of complex patterns from subparts.

For any level in the system, we must consider not only its overall probability of occurrence, but also its probability distribution over the less abstract level that gave rise to it. Each category of phonetic encoding
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has both a total rate of occurrence and a distribution over the parametric phonetic space. The availability of both is an automatic feature of exemplar theory, in which empirical distributions are built up incrementally from experienced tokens of speech. The range and likelihood of various phonetic realizations are revealed by the local density of memory traces on the parametric space, and the frequency of the category as a whole is revealed by the total quantity of traces. Analogous effects are found at higher levels, with word-forms also having probability distributions over phonetic outcomes, and phonological constraints having probability distributions over the space of word-forms.

Comparison of probabilities plays a crucial role in scientific inference and language learning. Both scientists and language learners posit a more complicated grammar only if systematic deviations from the output patterns of a simpler grammar are observable. The level of language exposure thus places bounds on the complexity of inferences that can be made. Children should be able to make gross inferences about the phonological system before they make subtler ones, and even for adults, the subtlety of inferences that are cognitively viable is limited by the size of the data set to which the generalization pertains. In particular, thanks to the tremendous volume of speech that people encounter, fine details of allophony can be learned as well as a large number of word-specific properties. Because of the much smaller size of the lexicon, general knowledge of words is more coarse-grained. Thus, a probabilistic framework allows us to make inferences about the utilization of the phonetic space, and the possible constraint set in phonology, in a way that is not possible in a purely categorical approach.

The starting point of this discussion was the claim that cognitive entities have probabilities and probability distributions and that comparisons of probabilities are involved in comparing alternative models of the whole system. Comparisons of probabilities also play a role in processing, when there are two alternative analyses of the same form. In phonetic encoding of speech events, each event is categorized by finding the most probable of the competing labels. In parsing speech into words, a relevant comparison is the likelihood that a given sequence is word internal versus the likelihood that it bridges a word boundary. For example, in Hay, Pierrehumbert, and Beckman's (in press) study, the judged well-formedness of the nonsense forms was found to depend on the statistically most likely parse. For a form such as /strmpi/, containing a cluster that is impossible within words, the most likely parse includes a word boundary: /strm#pi/.
The score for such a form reflected the likelihood of the winning parse. In
general, this means that in speech processing, the relationship of proba-
bilities will be relevant exactly when there is more than one competing
analysis in the cognitive system at the time when the speech processing
takes place. The expected values that played a role in the original infer-
ences about the form of the system are not necessarily relevant in the
adult system; they may be associated with grammars that were sup-
planted long ago by a more mature conceptualization.

The phonological system as I have described it exhibits confluences
across levels that permit bootstrapping of the system from surface regu-
larities to more abstract ones, and that are implicated in the astonishing
speed and accuracy of the adult system. One important case of confluence
is the correlation of surface (token) statistics with type statistics, a corre-
lation related to the special status of word-initial position as a locus for
maximal contrasts. A second case is the privileged status of diphones with
regard to acoustic distinctiveness, coarticulatory control, and complexity
of the phonological grammar in relation to the size of the lexicon.
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